



RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 **EXPEDITED PROCEDURE - EXAMINING GROUP 1610**

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants

Sudhakar Kasina, John M. Reno, Alan R. Fritzberg and Jonathan Tait

09/919,602

3183

July 30, 2001

RADIOLABELED ANNEXINS

Examiner: Michael G. Hartley

Art Unit: 1616

Application No.

Confirmation No.

Filed

For

Docket No.

690022.525C7

Date

August 01, 2003

Mail Stop AF . Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY AFTER FINAL

Commissioner:

Please find enclosed a Petition for an Extension of Time and the requisite fee.

Reconsideration of the application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

REMARKS

Claims 66-72 and 74-77 are pending. No amendments are submitted herein.

In the Office Action dated April 29, 2003, there is a single rejection of claims 66-72 and 74-77. In particular, the pending claims are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,323,313. Frequently rejections of this type are overcome by the filing of a terminal disclaimer. This rejection is respectfully traversed.